Amendments to the Drawings:

Please amend FIGS. 19-21 as follows:

in FIG. 19, please delete original reference numbers 818, 820, and 824, and their associated lead lines;

in FIG. 20, please delete original reference numbers 912, 920, and 924, and their associated lead lines; and

in FIG. 21, please delete original reference numbers 1020 and 1024, and their associated lead lines.

In accordance with Rule 121, two replacement sheets of drawings (sheet 5 of 9 and sheet 6 of 9, each labeled "Replacement Sheet") are submitted herewith that incorporate these drawing changes.

REMARKS

Claims 1-9 remain pending. No amendments thereto are being made. Amendments to the specification and to the drawings, explained more fully below, are being made.

Reconsideration and continued prosecution of the present application is respectfully requested.

Drawing Objections

The Office Action objected to the drawings as failing to comply with Rule 84(p)(5) for including reference characters not mentioned in the description.

Applicants thank the Examiner for his careful review of the application. In response to the objections, we include herewith a combination of amendments to the specification (to insert some reference numbers) and amendments to the drawings (to delete other reference numbers).

The following reference numbers appearing in the drawings have been added to the specification by amendment:

Ref. No.	<u>FIG.</u>	<u>Item</u>	Support can be found
84	9	SP reflector	p. 12 line 21 and FIG. 9
310	14	PLED construction	p. 6 lines 23-24 and FIG. 14
512	16	LED	p. 27 line 20 and FIG. 16
612	17	LED	p. 28 line 11 and FIG. 17
810	19	PLED construction	p. 6 line 25 and FIG. 19
910	20	PLED construction	p. 6 line 25 and FIG. 20
1010	21	PLED construction	p. 6 line 25 and FIG. 21

No new matter has been added.

The remaining objected-to reference numbers, i.e., 818, 820, 824 (FIG. 19), 912, 920, 924 (FIG. 20), and 1020 and 1024 (FIG. 21) have been deleted from the drawings. No new matter has been added.

The combination of specification amendments and drawing amendments are submitted to be fully responsive to the Examiner's objection. Withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Provisional Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

The Office Action provisionally rejected claims 1-7 and 9 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting ("ODP") over commonly assigned U.S. Application Publication No. 2004/0145895 (Ouderkirk et al.) in view of U.S. Pat. 6,686,676 (McNulty et al.).

In response thereto, Applicants note that since the provisional ODP rejection is the only rejection remaining in this application, it should be withdrawn in view of the practice outlined in MPEP § 804 (I)(B). The application corresponding to the '895 (Ouderkirk et al.) publication, Attorney Docket No. 59417US002, has not been granted any notice of allowance as of the date of this writing.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the application is submitted to be in condition for allowance, the early indication of which is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

15 Dec. 2005

Date

Stephen C. Jensen, Reg. No.: 35,207

Telephone No.: 651-736-3369

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833